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#### Abstract

This summary is based on the slides Discrete Event Systems by Christoph Stamm, WS06/07.


## Contents

## 1 Automata and Languages

### 1.1 Definitions

Alphabet A set of symbols (characters, letters).
String A string or word is a sequence of symbols of an $\rightarrow$ alphabet.

- The empty string contains no symbols and is denoted by $\varepsilon$ (don't confuse with empty set $\emptyset$, which is not a string, but rather a set of no strings).
- The length of the string is the number of symbols, e.g. $|\varepsilon|=$ 0.

Regular Language A language $L$ is called a regular language, if there is a FA, that accepts the language $L$. All finite languages are regular.
Infinite Language A language is infinite if it contains an infinite number of strings. A language can be infinite but regular (example: The language that consists of binary strings with an odd number of ones).

### 1.2 Regular Operations

- Union $(\bigcup)$ : Match one of the patterns
- Concatenation ( $\bullet$ ): Match patterns in sequence
- Kleene-star (*): Match pattern 0 or more times
- Kleene-plus (+): Match pattern 1 or more times

The result of a regular operator applied to a regular language is always a regular language. In other words, regular languages are closed under the operations of union, concatenation and Kleene-star/plus.

### 1.3 Finite Automata (FA) [1/11]

### 1.3.1 Definition: Finite Automaton

A finite automaton (FA) is a 5 -tuple $\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ where

- $Q$ is a finite set called the states
- $\Sigma$ is a finite set called the alphabet
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is the transformation function
- $q_{0} \in Q$ is the start state
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accept states (final states)


### 1.3.2 Definition: Accepted Language

The language accepted (or recognized) by a finite automaton $M$ is the set of all strings accepted by $M$. It is denoted by $L(M)$.

- Not all languages can be described as the accepted language of an FA.


### 1.4 Regular Expressions (REX) [1/22]

### 1.4.1 Regular Expressions in UNIX

Regular Operations:

| Operation | Symbol | UNIX version | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union | $\bigcup$ |  | match one of the patterns |
| Concatenation | $\bullet$ | implicit | match patterns in sequence |
| Kleene-star | $*$ | $*$ | match pattern 0 or more times |
| Kleene-plus | + | + | match pattern 1 or more times |

Match between 10 and 15 times: $\{10,15\}$
Operator precedence is $*, \bullet, \bigcup$

## Command Line:

```
- egrep -i 'expression'
```

- -i: Ignore Case
- perl -wlne'print if /expression/' \$file
- UNIX searches for lines containing the given string, to search for lines consisting of the string:
- egrep '^expression\$’


### 1.5 Non-deterministic Finite Automata (NFA) [1/48]

An NFA is an automaton whose transitions don't need to be deterministic, i.e. there can be multiple transitions from a state for the same symbol. If the automaton encounters such a transition, it is assumed to be in all possible states simultaneously. Any labeled graph with a start state is an NFA.

Definition: A non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA) is encapsulated by $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ in the same way as an FA, except that $\delta$ has different domain and co-domain: $\delta: Q \times \sigma_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow P(Q)$, where $P(Q)$ is the power set of $Q$, so that $\delta(q, a)$ is the set of all endpoints of edges from $q$ which are labeled by $a$.

Definition: A string $u$ is accepted by an NFA $M$ iff there exists a path starting at $q_{0}$ which is labeled by $u$ and ends in an accept state. The language accepted by $M$ is the set of all strings accepted by $M$ and is denoted by $L(M)$.

### 1.5.1 Regular Operations for NFA

NFA are closed under regular operations. Regular operations can be applied easily to NFA:
Union $(A \bigcup B)$ : Put $A$ and $B$ in parallel. Create new start state and conect it to all former start states with $\varepsilon$-edges.
Concatenation $(A \bullet B)$ : Use start states from $A$, connect all accept states of $A$ to all start states from $B$ via $\varepsilon$-edges and use accept states from $B$ as new accept states.
Kleene-plus $(A+)$ : Loop back all accept states to start states via $\varepsilon$-edges.
Kleene-star $(A *)$ : Same as Kleene-plus, but create a new, accepting start state that links to the old start state with an eedge.

### 1.6 State Minimization

- omit non-reachable states
- find equivalent states and join them


### 1.7 Transitions between FA, NFA and REX

FA, NFA and REX are equivalent.

### 1.7.1 $\quad$ NFA $\rightarrow$ FA

- always minimize the automaton first
- create power set of states ( $n$ states $\rightarrow 2^{n}$ states)
- each new state that contains an accept state becomes an accept state
- new start state: set of old start state and all states that ca recursively reached from there with $\varepsilon$


### 1.7.2 $\quad$ REX $\rightarrow$ NFA [ $1 / 69$ ]

$\rightarrow$ slide $1 / 69$

### 1.7.3 NFA $\rightarrow$ REX $[1 / 83 \mathrm{ff}]$

Via generic nondeterministic finite automaton (GNFA):

- a GNFA is an automaton whose edges are labeled by regular expressions with
- a unique start state with in-degree 0 (but arrows to every other state)
- a unique accept state (not the start state) with out-degree 0 (but arrows from every other state)
- (an arrow from any state to any other state)


## Conversion algorithm

1. construct GNFA from NFA
(a) if there is more than one arrow from one state to another, unify them using $\bigcup$
(b) create an unique start state with in-degree 0
(c) create an unique accept state with out-degree 0
(d) (if there is no arrow from one state to another, insert one with label $\emptyset$ )
2. loop: as long as GNFA has more than 2 states, rip out arbitrary interior state and update labels
3. the last edge is labeled with the regular expression

### 1.8 Pumping Lemma [1/94]

For every regular language $L$, there is a number $p$ (pumping numer), such that any string in $L$ of legth $\geq p$ is pumpable within its first $p$ letters.

In other words, for all $u \in L$ with $|u| \geq p$, we can write:

- $u=x y z$
- $|y| \geq 1$
- $|x y| \leq p$
- $x y^{i} z \in L$ for all $i \geq 0$
( $x$ is a prefix, $y$ is a suffix)
(mid-portion is non-empty)
(pumping occurs in first $p$ letters)
(can pump $y$-portion)
(the pumping lemma may be able to prove that a language is nonregular, but not that a language is regular)


## 2 Smarter Automata

### 2.1 Context free grammars (CFG) [2/5]

Definition: A context free grammar consists of $(V, \Sigma, R, S)$ with

- $V$ : a finite set of variables (or symbols, or non-terminals)
- $\Sigma$ : a finite set of terminals (or the alphabet)
- R: a finite set of rules (or productions) of the form $v \rightarrow w$ with $v \in V$ an $w \in\left(\Sigma_{\varepsilon} \cup V\right)^{*}$
- $S \in V$ : the start symbol


### 2.1.1 Derivation tree (parse tree) [2/10]

- each node is a symbol
- root is the start symbol
- parents are variables (non-terminals)
- leaves are terminals
- leaves spell out the derived tree from left to right


### 2.1.2 Ambiguity

Definition: A string $x$ is said to be ambiguous relative the grammar $G$ if there are two essentially different ways to derive $x$ in $G$. I.e. $x$ admits two or more different parse trees (or $x$ admits different leftmost or right-most derivations). A grammar $G$ is ambiguous if it $L(G)$ contains an ambiguous string.

### 2.1.3 Chomsky Normal Form [2/36]

We want only rules of the following forms:

- $S \rightarrow \varepsilon$ (only start state may produce $\varepsilon$ )
- $A \rightarrow B C$ (dyadic variable productions)
- $A \rightarrow a$ (unit terminal productions)

Conversion:

1. Ensure that start variable doesn't appear on the right hand side of any rule (if it does, exchange it by the productions of $S$ ).
2. Remove all epsilon productions except from start variable (e.g.: $A \rightarrow \varepsilon|a| b \mid A B$ becomes $A \rightarrow a|b| A B \mid B$ etc)
3. Remove all unit variable productions of the form $A \rightarrow B$.
4. Add new variables and dyadic (2) variable rules to replace nondyadic or non-variable productions.

### 2.2 Pushdown Automata (PDA) [2/21]

Definition: A pushdown automaton is a 6-tuple $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ with

- $Q$ (states), $\Sigma$ (input alphabet), $q_{0}$ (start state), $F$ (accept states) are the same as for FA
- $\Gamma$ is the stack alphabet
- $\delta$, for a given state, input letter and stack letter gives an output letter and a stack replacement:

$$
\delta: Q \times \Sigma_{\varepsilon} \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow P\left(Q \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

## Labeling convention:

$$
\text { (D) } \xrightarrow{x, y \rightarrow z} \text { (9) }
$$

If at state p with input $x$ and stack $y$, go to state q and replace $y$ on stack with $z$.

- $x=\varepsilon$ : ignore input, don't read
- $y=\varepsilon$ : ignore top of stack and push z
- $z=\varepsilon$ : pop y


### 2.3 Tandem Pumping [2/46]

Tandem Pumping can prove that a language is not a context free grammer. To prove that a language is a CFG, a CFG that creates the language or an automaton (DFA, NFA or REX) that accepts it can be given.

### 2.4 Transducer [2/50]

- A finite state transducer (FST) is a type of finite automaton whose output is a string instead of accept or reject.
- Each transition is labeled with two symbols $a / b$ where $a$ is the input symbol (as for automata) and $b$ the output symbol.


### 2.5 Turing Machine [2/52]

Definition A Turing machine (TM) is a 7-tuple $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_{0}, q_{a c c}, q_{r e j}\right)$ with

- $Q$ (states), $\Sigma$ (input alphabet), $q_{0}$ (start state) are the same as for FA
- $q_{a c c}$ and $q_{r e j}$ are accept and reject states
- $\Gamma$ is the tape aphabet, which necessarily contains the blank symbol $\square$ and the input alphabet $\Sigma$
- $\delta$ is the transition

$$
\delta:\left(Q-\left\{q_{a c c}, q_{r e j}\right) \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times\{L, R\}\right\}
$$

where $L, R$ is a left or right shift on the tape
A string $s$ is accepted if the TM with $s$ on the tape and the head on the left most state eventually enters the accept state.

## Labeling convention:

$$
\text { (D) } \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow y \mid R} \text { (9) }
$$

If at state p with band input $x$, write $y$ to band and go right on the tape and to state q.


If at state $p$ with band input $\square$ (empty), leave $\square$ on band and go left on the tape and to state $q$.

## 3 Specification Models

### 3.1 State Charts [3/2]

State charts are an extension of automata:

- notation:

- event: can be either internally or externally generated; logical function of multiple events is allowed
- condition: refers to values or variables that keep their value until they are reassigned; multiple conditions possible
- the transition is enabled if event and condition is true
- reaction: assignment to variables and/or creation of events
- Superstates
- OR-superstates $\rightarrow$ exactly one of the substates is active, when the superstate is active
- AND-superstates $\rightarrow$ all substates are active, when the superstate is active


### 3.2 Petri Nets [3/22]

Definition: A Petri net is a bipartite, directed graph defined by a tuple $\left(S, T, F, M_{0}\right)$, where

- $S$ is a set of places $p_{i}$
- $T$ is a set of transitions $t_{i}$
- $F$ is a set of edges (flow relations) $f_{i}$
- $M_{0}: S \rightarrow N$ is the initial marking

A transition may fire if all incoming egdes have the possibility to consume a token. All places at outgoing edges will then get a token. Which transition will fire is non-deterministic.

### 3.2.1 Analysis

## Properties of petri nets [3/38]:

Reachability A marking $M_{n}$ is reachable iff there exists a sequence of firings $\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\}$ so that $M_{n}=M_{0} \cdot t_{1} \cdot t_{2} \cdot \ldots t_{n}$
$K$-Boundedness A petri net is $K$-bounded if the number of tokens in every place never exceeds $K$
Safety 1-boundedness: Every node holds at most 1 token at any time
Liveness starting from the current state, can we eventually fire any transition?

Properties of transitions [3/39]: A transition $t$ in a Petri net $\left(N, M_{0}\right)$ is
dead if $t$ cannot be fired in any firing sequence of $L\left(M_{0}\right)$
L1-live if $t$ can be fired at least once in some sequence of $L\left(M_{0}\right)$
L2-live if, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}, t$ can be fired at least $k$ times in some sequence of $L\left(M_{0}\right)$
L3-live if $t$ apears infinitely often in some infinite sequence of $L\left(M_{0}\right)$
L4-live (live) if $t$ is L1-live for every marking reachable from $M_{0}$
Coverability Tree [3/42]: The coverability tree is a tree of all reachable token distributions. To denote an arbitrary number of tokens, a special symbol $\omega$ is used.

Algorithm to create the tree:

- label initial marking $M_{0}$ as root and tag it as new
- while new markings exist, pick one, say $M$ and do
- if $M$ is identical to a marking on the way from the root to $M$, mark it as old; continue
- if no transitions are enabled at $M$, tag it as deadend
- for each enabled transition $t$ at $M$ do
$\star$ obtain marking $M^{\prime}=M \cdot t$
$\star$ if there exists a marking $M^{\prime \prime}$ on the way from the root to $M$ s.t. $M^{\prime}(p) \geq M^{\prime \prime}(p)$ for each place $p$ and $M^{\prime} \neq M^{\prime \prime}$, replace $M^{\prime}(p)$ with $\omega$ for $p$ where $M^{\prime}(p)>M^{\prime \prime}(p)$
$\star$ introduce $M^{\prime}$ as a node, draw an arrow with label $t$ from $M$ to $M^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{tag} M^{\prime}$ as new

Results from the Coverability Tree $T$ :

- the net is bounded iff $\omega$ does not appear in any node label
- the net is safe if only 0 and 1 appear in the node labels of $T$
- a transition $t$ is dead iff it does not appear as edge in $T$
- if $M$ is reachable from $M_{0}$, then there exists a node $M^{\prime}$ s.t. $M \leq$ $M^{\prime}$ (necessary but not sufficient)
- for bounded petri nets, this tree is also called reachability tree; it contains all reachable markings
- deadlocks are possible if at lease one node is labeled with deadend

Incidence Matrix: The incidence matrix $A$ describes the tokenflow according for the different transitions:

- $A_{i j}=$ gain of tokens at node $i$ when transition $j$ fires
- in other words, $A$ is a matrix with a row for each place and and column for each transition; $-n$ denotes an outgoing transition of capacity $n ;+n$ denotes an incoming transition of capacity $n$
- a marking $M$ is written as a column vector
- the firing vector $u_{i}$ describes the firing of transition $i$ and consists of all 0 , except for the $i$-th position, where it has a 1
- a firing of transition $t_{i}$ at with a marking $M_{k}$ is written as

$$
M_{k+1}=M_{k}+A \cdot u_{i}
$$

Reachability:

- a marking $M_{k}$ is reachable from $M_{0}$ if there is a sequence of transitions $\left\{t_{1}, . ., t_{k}\right\}$ such that $M_{k}=M_{0} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot t_{k}$
- written with the incidence matrix:

$$
M_{k}=M_{0}+A \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}
$$

this can be rewritten as

$$
M_{k}-M_{0}=\Delta M=A \cdot \vec{x}
$$

- if $M_{k}$ is reachable, this equation must have a solution where all components of $\vec{x}$ are positive integers (necessary but not sufficient)


### 3.2.2 Extensions [3/52]

- weighted edges [3/30]
- each edge $f_{i}$ has an associated weight $W\left(f_{i}\right)$
- transition is active if each place $p_{i}$ connected through incoming edge $f_{i}$ contains at least $W\left(f_{i}\right)$ tokens
- new tokens are generated based on weight of edges
- still a regular petri net
- finite capacity [3/31]
- each place $p_{i}$ can hold $K\left(p_{i}\right)$ tokens at most
- to remove this constraint for $p$, add a complementary place $p^{\prime}$, in way that the number of tokens in $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ together is always $K(p)$
- still a regular petri net
- colored petri nets: token carry values (colors)
- can be transformed to regular petri net
- continuous petri net: token number can be real
- can not be transformed to regular petri net
- inhibitor arcs: enable transition if a place contains no tokens
- can not be transformed to regular petri net


## 4 Stochastic discrete event systems

### 4.1 Basics [4/5]

$\rightarrow$ see other summaries

### 4.2 Stochastic processes in discrete time [4/16]

### 4.2.1 Markov-Chain

- next state only depends on current state, not on past states
- use probability matrices $(P)$ for description:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{t+1} & =q_{t} * P \\
q_{t} & =q_{0} * P^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

(sanity check: row sums have to be 1)

- transition times (erwartete Übergangszeiten)

$$
h_{i j}=1+\sum_{k: k \neq j} p_{i k} h_{k j}
$$

(if the expectation values $h_{i j}$ and $h_{k j}$ exist)
arrival probabilities (Ankunftswahrscheinlichkeiten)

$$
f_{i j}=p_{i j}+\sum_{k: k \neq j} p_{i k} f_{k j}
$$

stationary analysis [4/38]

- bevaviour for $t \rightarrow \infty$
- a vector $\pi$ with $\pi_{j} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j \in S} \pi_{j}=1$ is called a stationary distribution of the markov chain with transition matrix $P$, if $\pi=\pi \cdot P$
- the stationary distribution $\pi$ is an Eigenvector of $P$ with Eigenvalue 1
- TI
$\star$ eigvl $(P)$ : eigenvalues
$\star$ eigvc $\left(P^{T}\right)$ : eigenvectors in columns
$\star \operatorname{eigvc}\left(P^{T}\right)^{T}$ [2]: second eigenvector as row vector
* ans(1)/rownorm(ans(1)): rescale to element sum=1


### 4.3 Stochastic processes in continuous time [4/50]

### 4.3.1 Distributions

(from WahrStat summary)

- Gleichverteilung auf $[a, b], a<b$
- Dichte

$$
f_{a, b}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{b-a}, & x \in[a, b] \\
0, & x \notin[a, b]
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Verteilungsfunktion

$$
F_{a, b}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0, & x \leq a \\
\frac{x-a}{b-a}, & a \leq x \leq b \\
1, & x \geq b
\end{array}\right.
$$

- $E[X]=\frac{a+b}{2}$
- $\operatorname{Var}[X]=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{12}$
- Normalverteilung mit Parametern $\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma>0$ :
- Dichte:

$$
f_{m, \sigma}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} \exp \left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right), x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

- $E[X]=\mu$
- $\operatorname{Var}[x]=\sigma^{2}$.
- Die Zufallsvariable $Z$ mit Verteilung $N(\mu, \sigma)$ (Normalverteilung mit Parametern $\mu, \sigma)$ enstpricht der Zufallsvariablen $\frac{Z-\mu}{\sigma}$ mit Verteilung $N(0,1)$ (nützlich bei Verwendung einer Tabelle).
- Exponentialverteilung mit Parameter $\lambda>0$ :
- Dichte:

$$
f_{\lambda}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\lambda e^{-\lambda x}, & x \geq 0 \\
0, & \text { sonst }
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Verteilungsfunktion:

$$
F_{\lambda}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f_{\lambda}(u) \mathrm{d} u=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0, & x \leq 0 \\
1-e^{-\lambda x}, & x>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

- $E[X]=\frac{1}{\lambda}$
- $\operatorname{Var}[X]=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}$
- sind $X_{1}, . ., X_{n}$ exponentialverteilt mit Parametern $\lambda_{1}, . ., \lambda_{n}$, so ist das Minimum $\min \left(X_{1}, . ., X_{m}\right)$ exponentialverteilt mit Parameter $\lambda=\lambda_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{n}$


### 4.3.2 Markov-chain in countinuous time [4/57]

- residence probabilites (?) (Aufenthaltswahrscheinlichkeiten) [4/62]
- start distribution $q(0): q_{i}(0)=\operatorname{Pr}[X(0)=i]$ for $i \in S(S$ : states)
- distribution at time $t: q_{i}(t)=\operatorname{Pr}[X(t)=i]$ for $i \in S$
- change of residence probabilities:

$$
\underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} q_{i}(t)}_{\text {Aenderung }}=\underbrace{\sum_{j: j \neq i} q_{j}(t) \cdot v_{j, i}}_{\text {Zufluss }}-\underbrace{q_{i}(t) \cdot v_{i}}_{\text {Abfluss }}
$$

* not easy to solve
$\star$ for a stationary distribution, $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t} q_{i}(t)=0$ must hold
$\star$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, we get a linear equation system, that must be solved by a stationary distribution $\pi$ :

$$
0=\sum_{j: j \neq i} \pi_{j} \cdot v_{j, i}-\pi_{i} \cdot v_{i}
$$

* see [4/65] for an example with two states


### 4.3.3 Kendall-notation $X / Y / m$ for queues [4/68]

- $X$ stands for the distribution of the time between two incoming jobs
- $Y$ stands for the distribution of the job processing time (without waiting time)
- $m$ is the number of servers
- distributions are:
- $D:$ deterministic (fixed time)
- $M$ : memoryless (exponential distribution)
- $G$ : general (something else)


### 4.3.4 $M / M / 1$-queues $[4 / 70]$

- arrival time distances and processing time exponentially distributed with parameter $\lambda$ resp. $\mu$
- traffic density: $\rho=\frac{\lambda}{\mu}$
- model with a Markov-chain in continuous time
- states: number of jobs in system
- state set: $S=\mathbb{N}_{0}$
- transit rate from $i$ to $i+1$ is $\lambda$
- transit rate from $i>0$ to $i-1$ is $\mu$

- stationary distribution [4/71]
- for $\rho \geq 1$ : no stationary solution, queue grows infinitely
- for $\rho<1$ : stationary distribution $\pi$ with $\pi_{k}=(1-\rho) \rho^{k}$ for $k \geq 0$. Average server load (Auslastung): $1-\pi_{0}=\rho$
- expected number of jobs in the system (queue+server):

$$
N=\frac{\lambda}{\mu-\lambda}=\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}
$$

- average response time:

$$
T=\frac{N}{\lambda}=\frac{1}{\mu-\lambda}
$$

- average waiting time without handling time:

$$
W=T-\frac{1}{\mu}=\frac{\rho}{\mu-\lambda}
$$

- average number of jobs in queue:

$$
N_{Q}=\lambda W=\frac{\rho^{2}}{1-\rho}
$$

generalisation of $M / M / 1$-queue: see [4/87]

### 4.3.5 Little's Law [4/75]

Definitions:

- $N(t)$ : number of jobs in the system (queue+server) at time $t$
- $\alpha(t)$ : number of jobs that arrived in interval $[0, t]$
- $T_{i}$ : response time for job $i$ (waiting+handling)

Average values at time $t$ :

$$
N_{t}=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} N(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau, \quad \lambda_{t}=\frac{\alpha(t)}{t}, \quad T_{t}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(t)} T_{i}}{\alpha(t)}
$$

Limit for $t \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
N=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} N_{t}, \quad \lambda=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{t}, \quad T=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} T_{t}
$$

If these limits exists and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta(t)}{t}$ exists and equals $\lambda(\beta(t)$ is the number of finished jobs in interval $[0, t])$, then Littles Law tells us:

$$
N=\lambda \cdot T
$$

(this holds for strategies other than FCFS as well)

### 4.3.6 Time-Sharing

see[4/83]

### 4.3.7 $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M} / 1$-queue with limited (to $n$ ) number of waiting spaces

- see [4/88]
- stationary distribution for waiting spaces:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{0}=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{n} \rho^{i}}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{n+1} & \text { for } \rho=1 \\
\frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho^{n+1}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& \pi_{k}=\rho^{k} \cdot \pi_{0} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.3.8 $M / M / m$-System

- see [4/90]
- equilibrium if $\rho=\frac{\lambda}{m \mu}<1$
- stationary distribution for waiting spaces:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{0}=\frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(\rho m)^{k}}{k!}+\frac{(\rho m)^{m}}{m!(1-\rho)}} \\
& \pi_{k}= \begin{cases}\pi_{0} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\mu^{k} \cdot k!}=\pi_{0} \cdot \frac{(\rho m)^{k}}{k!} & \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq m \\
\pi_{0} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\mu^{k} m!m^{k-m}}=\pi_{0} \cdot \frac{\rho^{k} m^{m}}{m!} & \text { for } k \geq m\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

- probability $P_{Q}$ that an incoming job has to wait

$$
P_{Q}=\frac{(\rho m)^{m} /(m!(1-p))}{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(\rho m)^{k}}{k!}+\frac{(\rho m)^{m}}{m!(1-\rho)}} \quad\left(\text { for } \rho=\frac{\lambda}{m \mu}<1\right)
$$

- expectation value for number of jobs in queue

$$
N_{Q}=P_{Q} \cdot \frac{\rho}{1-\rho}
$$

- average wait time

$$
W=\frac{N_{Q}}{\lambda}=P_{Q} \cdot \frac{\rho}{\lambda(1-\rho)}
$$

- average response time

$$
T=W+\frac{1}{\mu}=\frac{P_{Q}}{m \mu-\lambda}+\frac{1}{\mu}
$$

average number of jobs in the system

$$
N=\lambda T=\frac{\rho P_{Q}}{1-\rho}+m \rho
$$

### 4.3.9 $\quad M / M / m / m$-System

- see $[4 / 94]$
- $m$ servers, at most $m$ jobs
- stationary distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{0}=\frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{k} \frac{1}{k!}} \\
& \pi_{m}=\frac{\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{m} \frac{1}{m!}}{\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{k} \frac{1}{k!}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5 Worst-Case Event Systems [5]

### 5.1 Competitive Analysis

An online algorithm $A$ is called $c$-competitive if for all finite input sequences $I$ it holds that

$$
\operatorname{cost}_{A}(I) \leq c \cdot \operatorname{cost}_{o p t}(I)+k
$$

where $k$ is an input-independant constant. If $k=0, A$ is called strictly $c$-competitive.

### 5.2 Greedy Algorithm

A greedy will always choose the local optimum in the (most often false) hope to find the global optimum.

