


### A Typical Sensor Node: TinyNode 584

[Shockfish SA, The Sensor Network Museum]


- TI MSP430F1611 microcontroller @ 8 MHz
- 10k SRAM, 48k flash (code), 512k serial storage
- 868 MHz Xemics XE1205 multi channel radio
- Up to 115 kbps data rate, 200m outdoor range

	Current Draw	Power Consumption
uC sleep with timer on	6.5 uA	0.0195 mW
uC active, radio off	2.1 mA	6.3 mW
uC active, radio idle listening	16 mA	48 mW
uC active, radio TX/RX at +12dBm	62 mA	186 mW
Max. Power (uC active, radio TX/RX at +12dBm + flash write)	76.9 mA	230.7mW






### After Deployment



### Visuals anyone?



#### Overview

- Introduction
- **Application Examples**
- **Related Areas**
- Wireless Communication Basics
  - Frequencies
  - Signals
  - Antennas
  - Signal Propagation
  - Modulation
- **Course Overview**
- Literature ٠



### vs. Sensor Networks

- Laptops, PDA's, cars, soldiers
- All-to-all routing
- Often with mobility (MANET's)
- Trust/Security an issue
  - No central coordinator
- Maybe high bandwidth

There is no strict separation; more variants such as mesh or sensor/actor networks exist


- Tiny nodes: 4 MHz, 32 kB, ...
- Broadcast/Echo from/to sink
- · Usually no mobility but link failures
- One administrative control
- Long lifetime  $\rightarrow$  Energy

Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer –


# Animal Monitoring (Great Duck Island)



- 1. Biologists put sensors in underground nests of storm petrel 2. And on 10cm stilts
- 3. Devices record data about birds
- 4. Transmit to research station
- 5. And from there via satellite to lab



### Environmental Monitoring (Redwood Tree)



- Microclimate in a tree
- 10km less cables on a tree; easier to set up
- Sensor Network = The New Microscope?



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/9

# Smart Spaces (Car Parking)


- The good: Guide cars towards
  empty spots
- The bad: Check which cars do not have any time remaining
- The ugly: Meter running out: take picture and send fine



[Matthias Grossglauser, EPFL & Nokia Research]


## Vehicle Tracking

- Sensor nodes (equipped with magnetometers) are packaged, and dropped from fully autonomous GPS controlled "toy" air plane
- Nodes know dropping order, and use that for initial position guess
- Nodes then track vehicles (trucks mostly)



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/10

# Structural Health Monitoring (Bridge)



### Virtual Fence (CSIRO Australia)

- Download the fence to the cows. Today stay here, tomorrow go somewhere else.
- When a cow strays towards the co-ordinates, software running on the collar triggers a stimulus chosen to scare the cow away, a sound followed by an electric shock; this is the "virtual" fence. The software also "herds" the cows when the position of the virtual fence is moved.
- If you just want to make sure that cows stay together, GPS is not really needed...




Cows learn and need not to be shocked later... Moo!



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/13

### **Related Areas**




#### **Economic Forecast**

- Industrial Monitoring (35% 45%)
  - Monitor and control production chain
  - Storage management
  - · Monitor and control distribution
- Building Monitoring and Control (20 30%)
  - Alarms (fire, intrusion etc.)
  - Access control
- Home Automation (15 25%)
  - Energy management (light, heating, AC etc.)
  - Remote control of appliances
- Automated Meter Reading (10-20%)
  - Water meter, electricity meter, etc.
- Environmental Monitoring (5%)
  - Agriculture
  - Wildlife monitoring

millions wireless sensors sold

[Jean-Pierre Hubaux, EPFL]



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/14

### **RFID Systems**

 Fundamental difference between ad hoc/sensor networks and RFID: In RFID there is always the distinction between the passive tags/transponders (tiny/flat), and the reader (bulky/big).



- There is another form of tag, the so-called active tag, which has its own internal power source that is used to power the integrated circuits and to broadcast the signal to the reader. An active tag is similar to a sensor node.
- More types are available, e.g. the semipassive tag, where the battery is not used for transmission (but only for computing)

### Wearable Computing / Ubiquitous Computing

- Tiny embedded "computers"
- UbiComp: Microsoft's Doll
- I refer to my colleague Gerhard Troester and his lectures & seminars



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/17

### Wireless & Mobile Examples

- Up-to-date localized information
  - Мар
  - Pull/Push
- Ticketing
- Etc.



[Asus PDA, iPhone, Blackberry, Cybiko]

# Wireless and/or Mobile

- Aspects of mobility
  - User mobility: users communicate "anytime, anywhere, with anyone" (example: read/write email on web browser)
  - Device portability: devices can be connected anytime, anywhere to the network
- Wireless vs. mobile Examples
  Stationary (



- Stationary computer
- Notebook in a hotel
- Historic buildings; last mile
  - Personal Digital Assistant (PDA)
- The demand for mobile communication creates the need for integration of wireless networks and existing fixed networks
  - Local area networks: standardization of IEEE 802.11 or HIPERLAN
  - Wide area networks: GSM and ISDN
  - Internet: Mobile IP extension of the Internet protocol IP



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/18


# General Trend: A computer in 10 years?

- Advances in technology
  - More computing power in smaller devices
  - Flat, lightweight displays with low power consumption
  - New user interfaces due to small dimensions
  - More bandwidth (per second? per space?)
  - Multiple wireless techniques
- Technology in the background
  - Device location awareness: computers adapt to their environment
  - User location awareness: computers recognize the location of the user and react appropriately (call forwarding)
- "Computers" evolve
  - Small, cheap, portable, replaceable
  - Integration or disintegration?




#### Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/20

### Physical Layer: Wireless Frequencies



# Signal propagation ranges, a simplified model

- Propagation in free space always like light (straight line)
- Transmission range
  - communication possible
  - low error rate
- Detection range
  - detection of the signal possible
  - no communication possible
- Interference range
  - signal may not be detected
  - signal adds to the background noise



### Frequencies and Regulations

• ITU-R holds auctions for new frequencies, manages frequency bands worldwide (WRC, World Radio Conferences)

	Europe (CEPT/ETSI)	USA (FCC)	Japan
Mobile	NMT 453-457MHz,	AMPS, TDMA, CDMA	PDC
phones	463-467 MHz	824-849 MHz,	810-826 MHz,
	<b>GSM</b> 890-915 MHz,	869-894 MHz	940-956 MHz,
	935-960 MHz,	TDMA, CDMA, GSM	1429-1465 MHz,
	1710-1785 MHz,	1850-1910 MHz,	1477-1513 MHz
	1805-1880 MHz	1930-1990 MHz	
Cordless	CT1+ 885-887 MHz,	PACS 1850-1910 MHz,	PHS
telephones	930-932 MHz	1930-1990 MHz	1895-1918 MHz
	CT2	PACS-UB 1910-1930 MHz	JCT
	864-868 MHz		254-380 MHz
	DECT		
	1880-1900 MHz		
Wireless	IEEE 802.11	IEEE 802.11	IEEE 802.11
LANs	2400-2483 MHz	2400-2483 MHz	2471-2497 MHz
	HIPERLAN 1		
	5176-5270 MHz		



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/22

Signal propagation, more accurate models


• Free space propagation  $P_r = -$ 

$$\frac{P_s G_s G_r \lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2 d^2 L}$$

- Two-ray ground propagation  $P_r = \frac{P_s G_s G_r h_s^2 h_r^2}{d^4}$
- $P_s$ ,  $P_r$ : Power of radio signal of sender resp. receiver
- G<sub>s</sub>, G<sub>r</sub>: Antenna gain of sender resp. receiver (how bad is antenna)
- d: Distance between sender and receiver
- L: System loss factor
- $\lambda$ : Wavelength of signal in meters
- h<sub>s</sub>, h<sub>r</sub>: Antenna height above ground of sender resp. receiver
- Plus, in practice, received power is not constant ("fading")


### Attenuation by distance

- Attenuation [dB] = 10 log<sub>10</sub> (transmitted power / received power)
- Example: factor 2 loss =  $10 \log_{10} 2 \approx 3 \text{ dB}$
- In theory/vacuum (and for short distances), receiving power is • proportional to 1/d<sup>2</sup>, where d is the distance.
- In practice (for long distances), receiving • power is proportional to  $1/d^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 4...6$ . We call  $\alpha$  the path loss exponent.
- Example: Short distance, what is the attenuation between 10 and 100 meters distance? Factor 100 (=100<sup>2</sup>/10<sup>2</sup>) loss = 20 dB




### Antennas: isotropic radiator

- Radiation and reception of electromagnetic waves, coupling of wires to space for radio transmission
- Isotropic radiator: equal radiation in all three directions
- Only a theoretical reference antenna
- Radiation pattern: measurement of radiation around an antenna
- Sphere: S =  $4\pi$  r<sup>2</sup>




### Antennas: simple dipoles

Real antennas are not isotropic radiators but, e.g., dipoles with lengths  $\lambda/2$  as Hertzian dipole or  $\lambda/4$  on car roofs or shape of antenna proportional to wavelength



Example: Radiation pattern of a simple Hertzian dipole




simple dipole



side view (yz-plane)






#### Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks - Roger Wattenhofer - 1/27

### Antennas: directed and sectorized


 Often used for microwave connections or base stations for mobile phones (e.g., radio coverage of a valley)




Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks - Roger Wattenhofer - 1/28

### Antennas: diversity

- Grouping of 2 or more antennas
  - multi-element antenna arrays
- Antenna diversity
  - switched diversity, selection diversity
    - receiver chooses antenna with largest output
  - diversity combining
    - combine output power to produce gain
    - cophasing needed to avoid cancellation



• Smart antenna: beam-forming, MIMO, etc.



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks - Roger Wattenhofer - 1/29

# Attenuation by objects

- Shadowing (3-30 dB):
  - textile (3 dB)
  - concrete walls (13-20 dB)
  - floors (20-30 dB)
- reflection at large obstacles
- scattering at small obstacles
- diffraction at edges
- fading (frequency dependent)



shadowing




reflection






diffraction

# Real World Examples



# Multipath propagation


• Signal can take many different paths between sender and receiver due to reflection, scattering, diffraction



- Time dispersion: signal is dispersed over time
- Interference with "neighbor" symbols: Inter Symbol Interference (ISI)
- The signal reaches a receiver directly and phase shifted
- Distorted signal depending on the phases of the different parts


### Effects of mobility

- Channel characteristics change over time and location
  - signal paths change
  - different delay variations of different signal parts
  - different phases of signal parts
- quick changes in power received (short term fading)
- Additional changes in
  - distance to sender
  - obstacles further away
- slow changes in average power received (long term fading)
- Doppler shift: Random frequency modulation



## Periodic Signals

- $g(t) = A_t \sin(2\pi f_t t + \phi_t)$
- Amplitude A
- frequency f [Hz = 1/s]
- period T = 1/f
- wavelength  $\lambda$ with  $\lambda f = c$ (c=3.10<sup>8</sup> m/s)
- phase  $\phi$
- φ\* = -φT/2π [+T]






Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/34




# Modulation and demodulation




# Digital modulation

- Modulation of digital signals known as Shift Keying
- Amplitude Shift Keying (ASK):
  - very simple
  - low bandwidth requirements
  - very susceptible to interference
- Frequency Shift Keying (FSK):
  needs larger bandwidth
- Phase Shift Keying (PSK):
  - more complex
  - robust against interference




### Different representations of signals

• For many modulation schemes not all parameters matter.



#### amplitude domain








Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/37

# Advanced Phase Shift Keying

- BPSK (Binary Phase Shift Keying):
  - bit value 0: sine wave
  - bit value 1: inverted sine wave
  - Robust, low spectral efficiency
  - Example: satellite systems
- QPSK (Quadrature Phase Shift Keying):
  - 2 bits coded as one symbol
  - symbol determines shift of sine wave
  - needs less bandwidth compared to BPSK
  - more complex
- Dxxxx (Differential xxxx)



# Advanced Frequency Shift Keying


- MSK (Minimum Shift Keying)
- bandwidth needed for FSK depends on the distance between the carrier frequencies
- Avoid sudden phase shifts by choosing the frequencies such that (minimum) frequency gap  $\delta f = 1/4T$  (where T is a bit time)
- During T the phase of the signal changes continuously to  $\pm\,\pi$
- Example GSM: GMSK (Gaussian MSK)



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/38


# **Modulation Combinations**

- Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (QAM)
- combines amplitude and phase modulation
- it is possible to code n bits using one symbol
- 2<sup>n</sup> discrete levels, n=2 identical to QPSK
- bit error rate increases with n, but less errors compared to comparable PSK schemes
- Example: 16-QAM (4 bits = 1 symbol)
- Symbols 0011 and 0001 have the same phase, but different amplitude.
   0000 and 1000 have different phase, but same amplitude.
- Used in 9600 bit/s modems



## Ultra-Wideband (UWB)


- An example of a new physical paradigm.
- Discard the usual dedicated frequency band paradigm.
- Instead share a large spectrum (about 1-10 GHz).
- Modulation: Often pulse-based systems. Use extremely short duration pulses (sub-nanosecond) instead of continuous waves to transmit information. Depending on application 1M-2G pulses/second





Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/41

### Course Overview



### **UWB** Modulation

- PPM: Position of pulse
- PAM: Strength of pulse
- OOK: To pulse or not to pulse
- Or also pulse shape

Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/42

### Course Overview: Lecture and Exercises

- · Maximum possible spectrum of theory and practice
- New area, more open than closed questions
- Each week, exactly one chapter/topic
- · General ideas, concepts, algorithms, impossibility results, etc.
  - Most of these are applicable in other contexts
  - In other words, almost no protocols
- Three types of exercises: theory, practice/lab, creative
- Assistants: Nicolas Burri, Philipp Sommer
- www.disco.ethz.ch  $\rightarrow$  courses

