<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	
	<ul> <li>Motivation</li> <li>Reference-Broadcast Synchronization (RBS)</li> <li>Time-sync Protocol for Sensor Networks (TSPN)</li> <li>Gradient Clock Synchronization</li> </ul>
	Distributed Computing Group MOBILE COMPUTING R. Wattenhofer 11/2
Motivation	Disturbing Influences on Packet Latency
<ul> <li>Time synchronization is essential for many applications</li> <li>Coordination of wake-up and sleeping times</li> <li>TDMA schedules</li> <li>Ordering of sensed events in habitat environments</li> <li>Estimation of position information</li> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Influences</li> <li>Sending Time S</li> <li>Medium Access Time A</li> <li>Propagation Time P<sub>A,B</sub></li> <li>Reception Time R</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Scope of a Clock Synchronization Algorithm</li> <li>Packet delay / latency</li> <li>Offset between clocks</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Asymmetric packet delays due to <i>non-determinism</i></li> <li>Example: RTT-based synchronization</li> </ul>
• Drift between clocks $B \xrightarrow{t_{2} \leftarrow \text{Time according to B}} t_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{Clock with}} t_{3}$ $A \xrightarrow{\text{Request}} t_{1} \leftarrow \text{Time according to A}} t_{4}$ $A \xrightarrow{t_{1} \leftarrow \text{Time according to A}} t_{4}$ $A \xrightarrow{\text{Clock with}} t_{4}$ $A \xrightarrow{\text{Clock with}} t_{4}$ $A \xrightarrow{\text{Clock with}} t_{4}$	$\delta = \frac{(t_4 - t_1) - (t_3 - t_2)}{2}$ $\theta = \frac{(t_2 - (t_1 + \delta)) - (t_4 - (t_3 + \delta))}{2}$ $= \frac{(t_2 - t_1) + (t_3 - t_4)}{2}$ $B = \frac{t_2 - \frac{t_1 - t_3}{ding to A} \longrightarrow t_4}{\frac{t_1}{t_1} \longrightarrow \frac{t_2}{ding to A} \longrightarrow t_4}$
Distributed Computing Group MOBILE COMPUTING R. Wattenhofer 11/3	Distributed Computing Group MOBILE COMPUTING R. Wattenhofer 11/4

#### Reference-Broadcast Synchronization (RBS)

- A sender synchronizes a set of receivers with one another
- Point of reference: beacon's arrival time

```
t_{2} = t_{1} + S_{S} + A_{S} + P_{S,A} + R_{A}
t_{3} = t_{1} + S_{S} + A_{S} + P_{S,B} + R_{B}
\theta = t_{2} - t_{3} = (P_{S,A} - P_{S,B}) + (R_{A} - R_{B})
```



11/5

11/7

- Only sensitive to the difference in propagation and reception time
- ▶ Time stamping at the interrupt time when a beacon is received
- After a beacon is sent, all receivers exchange their reception times to calculate their clock offset
- Post-synchronization possible
- Least-square linear regression to tackle clock drifts



Distributed Computing Group MOBILE COMPUTING R. Wattenhofer

# Time-sync Protocol for Sensor Networks (TSPN)



- Time stamping packets at the MAC layer
- In contrast to RBS, the signal propagation time might be negligible
- About "two times" better than RBS
- Again, clock drifts are taken into account using periodical synchronization messages
- Problem: What happens in a ring?!?
  - Two neighbors will have exceptionally badly synchronization



#### Distributed Computing Group MOBILE COMPUTING R. Wattenhofer

### Time-sync Protocol for Sensor Networks (TSPN)

- Traditional sender-receiver synchronization (RTT-based)
- Initialization phase: Breadth-first-search flooding
  - Root node at level 0 sends out a level discovery packet
  - Receiving nodes which have not yet an assigned level set their level to +1 and start a random timer
  - · After the timer is expired, a new level discovery packet will be sent
- Synchronization phase
  - Root node issues a *time sync* packet which triggers a random timer at all level 1 nodes
  - After the timer is expired, the node asks its parent for synchronization using a *synchronization pulse*
  - The parent node answers with an acknowledgement
  - Thus, the requesting node knows the round trip time and can calculate its clock offset
  - Child nodes receiving a synchronization pulse also start a random timer themselves to trigger their own synchronization

11/6



Distributed Computing Group MOBILE COMPUTING R. Wattenhofer

## Theoretical Bounds for Clock Synchronization

- Network Model:
  - Each node has a private clock
  - *n* node network, with diameter  $\Delta \leq n$ .
  - Reliable point-to-point communication with minimal delay  $\mu$
  - Jitter  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  is the uncertainty in message delay
- Two neighboring nodes u, v cannot distinguish whether message is faster from u to v and slower from v to u, or vice versa. Hence clocks of neighboring nodes can be up to  $\varepsilon$  off.
- Hence, two nodes at distance  $\Delta$  might have clocks which are  $\epsilon\Delta$  off.
- This can be achieved by a simple flooding algorithm: Whenever a node receives a new minimum value, it sets its clock to the new value and forwards its new clock value to all its neighbors.



#### Gradient Clock Synchronization

- It could happen that a clock has to jump back to a much lower value
  - Think again about a ring example, assume that in one leg of the ring messages are forwarded fast all of a sudden.
- Problem: At a node, you don't want a clock to jump back all of a sudden.
  - You don't want new events to be registered earlier than older events.
  - Instead, you want your clock always to move forward. Sometimes faster, sometimes slower is OK. But there should be a minimum and a maximum speed.
  - This is called "gradient" clock synchronization in [Fan and Lynch, PODC 2004].
- In [Fan and Lynch, PODC 2004] it is shown that when logical clocks need to obey minimum/maximum speed rules, the skew of two neighboring clocks can be up to  $\mathbf{X}$

$$\Omega\left(\frac{\log\Delta}{\log\log\Delta}\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{\log \Delta}{\log\log \Delta}\right)$$

11/9

Distributed Computing Group MOBILE COMPUTING R. Wattenhofer

0-