## Chapter 11 TIME SYNC <br> Mobile Computing <br> Winter 2005 / 2006

## Motivation

## Disturbing Influences on Packet Latency

- Influences
- Sending Time S
- Medium Access Time $A$
- Propagation Time $P_{A, B}$
- Reception Time $R$
- Asymmetric packet delays due to non-determinism
- Example: RTT-based synchronization

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta & =\frac{\left(t_{4}-t_{1}\right)-\left(t_{3}-t_{2}\right)}{2} \\
\theta & =\frac{\left(t_{2}-\left(t_{1}+\delta\right)\right)-\left(t_{4}-\left(t_{3}+\delta\right)\right)}{2} \\
& =\frac{\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)+\left(t_{3}-t_{4}\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Motivation
- Reference-Broadcast Synchronization (RBS)
- Time-sync Protocol for Sensor Networks (TSPN)
- Gradient Clock Synchronization
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- Time synchronization is essential for many applications
- Coordination of wake-up and sleeping times
- TDMA schedules
- Ordering of sensed events in habitat environments
- Estimation of position information
- Scope of a Clock Synchronization Algorithm
- Packet delay / latency
- Offset between clocks

Drift between clocks
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## Reference-Broadcast Synchronization (RBS)

Time-sync Protocol for Sensor Networks (TSPN)

- A sender synchronizes a set of receivers with one another
- Point of reference: beacon's arrival time

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{2} & =t_{1}+S_{S}+A_{S}+P_{S, A}+R_{A} \\
t_{3} & =t_{1}+S_{S}+A_{S}+P_{S, B}+R_{B} \\
\theta=t_{2}-t_{3} & =\left(P_{S, A}-P_{S, B}\right)+\left(R_{A}-R_{B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



- Only sensitive to the difference in propagation and reception time
- Time stamping at the interrupt time when a beacon is received
- After a beacon is sent, all receivers exchange their reception times to calculate their clock offset
- Post-synchronization possible
- Least-square linear regression to tackle clock drifts
- Traditional sender-receiver synchronization (RTT-based)
- Initialization phase: Breadth-first-search flooding
- Root node at level 0 sends out a level discovery packet
- Receiving nodes which have not yet an assigned level set their level to +1 and start a random timer
- After the timer is expired, a new level discovery packet will be sent
- Synchronization phase
- Root node issues a time sync packet which triggers a random timer at all level 1 nodes
- After the timer is expired, the node asks its parent for synchronization using a synchronization pulse
- The parent node answers with an acknowledgement
- Thus, the requesting node knows the round trip time and can calculate its clock offset
- Child nodes receiving a synchronization pulse also start a random timer themselves to trigger their own synchronization


## Time-sync Protocol for Sensor Networks (TSPN)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{2}=t_{1}+S_{A}+A_{A}+P_{A, B}+R_{B} \\
& t_{4}=t_{3}+S_{B}+A_{B}+P_{B, A}+R_{A} \\
& \theta=\frac{\left(S_{A}-S_{B}\right)+\left(A_{A}-A_{B}\right)+\left(P_{A, B}-P_{B, A}\right)+\left(R_{B}-R_{A}\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



- Time stamping packets at the MAC layer
- In contrast to RBS, the signal propagation time might be negligible
- About "two times" better than RBS
- Again, clock drifts are taken into account using periodical synchronization messages
- Problem: What happens in a ring?!?
- Two neighbors will have exceptionally badly synchronization


## Theoretical Bounds for Clock Synchronization

- Network Model:
- Each node has a private clock
- $n$ node network, with diameter $\Delta \leq n$.
- Reliable point-to-point communication with minimal delay $\mu$
- Jitter $\varepsilon$ is the uncertainty in message delay
- Two neighboring nodes $u, v$ cannot distinguish whether message is faster from $u$ to $v$ and slower from $v$ to $u$, or vice versa. Hence clocks of neighboring nodes can be up to $\varepsilon$ off.
- Hence, two nodes at distance $\Delta$ might have clocks which are $\varepsilon \Delta$ off.
- This can be achieved by a simple flooding algorithm: Whenever a node receives a new minimum value, it sets its clock to the new value and forwards its new clock value to all its neighbors.
- It could happen that a clock has to jump back to a much lower value
- Think again about a ring example, assume that in one leg of the ring messages are forwarded fast all of a sudden.
- Problem: At a node, you don't want a clock to jump back all of a sudden.
- You don't want new events to be registered earlier than older events.
- Instead, you want your clock always to move forward. Sometimes faster, sometimes slower is OK. But there should be a minimum and a maximum speed.
- This is called "gradient" clock synchronization in [Fan and Lynch, PODC 2004].
- In [Fan and Lynch, PODC 2004] it is shown that when logical clocks need to obey minimum/maximum speed rules, the skew of two neighboring clocks can be up to

$$
\Omega\left(\frac{\log \Delta}{\log \log \Delta}\right)
$$

