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What are finite automata useful for?


verification


What are finite automata useful for?

simulation

- Digital circuits
- Protocols (e.g. BGP)
- Anything specified with automata
synthesis of software or hardware
- Digital circuits
- Network configurations
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## Questions:

- Does the system specification model the desired behavior correctly?
- Do implementation and specification describe the same behavior?
- Can the system enter an undesired (or dangerous) state?


## Possible solutions:

- Simulation (sometimes also called validation or testing)
- Unless the simulation is exhaustive, i.e., all possible input sequences are tested, the result is not trustworthy.
- In general, simulation can only show the presence of errors but not the absence (correctness).
- Formal analysis (sometimes also called verification)
- Formal (unambiguous) proof of correctness.


## Verification of Finite Automata

- Due to the finite number of states, proving properties of a finite state machine can be done by enumeration.
- As computer systems have finite memory, properties of processors (and embedded systems in general) could be shown in principle.
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## Verification of Finite Automata

- Due to the finite number of states, proving properties of a finite state machine can be done by enumeration.
- As computer systems have finite memory, properties of processors (and embedded systems in general) could be shown in principle.
- But is enumeration a reasonable approach in practice?

finite automaton

| memory | number of <br> states |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8 Bit | 256 |
| 32 Bit | $4.10^{9}$ |
| 1 KBit | $10^{300}$ |
| 1 MBit | $10^{300} 000$ |
| 1 GBit | $10^{300} 000000$ |$\quad 12$

## Verification of Finite Automata

- There have been major breakthroughs in recent years on the verification of finite automata with very large state spaces. Prominent methods are based on
- transformation to a Boolean Satisfiability (SAT) problem (not covered in this course) and
- symbolic model checking via binary decision diagrams (covered in this course).


## Verification of Finite Automata

- There have been major breakthroughs in recent years on the verification of finite automata with very large state spaces. Prominent methods are based on
- transformation to a Boolean Satisfiability (SAT) problem (not covered in this course) and
- symbolic model checking via binary decision diagrams (covered in this course).
- Symbolic model checking is a method of verifying temporal properties of finite (and sometimes infinite) state systems that relies on a symbolic representation of sets, typically as Binary Decision Diagrams (BDD's).
- Verification is used in industry for proving the correctness of complex digital circuits (control, arithmetic units, cache coherence), safety-critical software and embedded systems (traffic control, train systems, security protocols).
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## Verification Scenarios

Example

"The device can always be switched off."

Comparison of specification and implementation


Proving properties


## Efficient state representation

## Computing

 reachabilityProving properties

- Set of states as Boolean function
- Binary Decision Diagram representation
- Leverage efficient state representation
- Explore successor sets of states
- Temporal logic (CTL)
- Encoding as reachability problem


## Efficient state representation

This week

- Set of states as Boolean function
- Binary Decision Diagram representation


## Computing reachability

Proving
properties

- Leverage efficient state representation
- Explore successor sets of states
- Temporal logic (CTL)
- Encoding as reachability problem


## Binary Decision Diagrams (BDD)

- Concept
- Data structure that allows to represent Boolean functions.
- The representation is unique for a given ordering of variables. If the ordering of variables is fixed, we call it an ordered BDD (OBDD).

$$
f=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}
$$
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- Edges are labeled with input values.
- Leaves are labeled with output values.
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## Binary Decision Diagrams (BDD)

- Concept
- Data structure that allows to represent Boolean functions.
- The representation is unique for a given ordering of variables. If the ordering of variables is fixed, we call it an ordered BDD (OBDD).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} \\
& f(1,0,1)=\text { true } \\
& f(0,0,1)=\text { true }
\end{aligned}
$$



- Structure
- BDDs contain "decision nodes" which are labeled with variable names.
- Edges are labeled with input values.
- Leaves are labeled with output values.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3} \\
& g(0,1,0)=\text { false }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Basic concept of verification using BDDs

- BDDs represent Boolean functions.
- Therefore, they can be used to describe sets of states and transformation relations.
- Due to the unique representation of Boolean functions, reduced ordered BDDs (ROBDD) can be used to proof equivalence between Boolean functions or between sets of states.
- BDDs can easily and efficiently be manipulated.


## Decomposition

## Logic Boolean Binary

| OR | + | $\vee$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AND | $\cdot$ | $\wedge$ |
| NOT | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\neg$ or $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ |

BDDs are based on the Boole-Shannon-Decomposition:

$$
f=\left.\bar{x} \cdot f\right|_{x=0}+\left.x \cdot f\right|_{x=1}
$$

A Boolean function has two co-factors for each variable, one for each evaluation

- $\left.f\right|_{x=0}$ : remaining function for $x=0$
- $\left.f\right|_{x=1}$ : remaining function for $x=1$
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## Decomposition

## Logic Boolean Binary

| OR | + | $\vee$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AND | $\cdot$ | $\wedge$ |
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\begin{aligned}
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## Logic Boolean Binary

| OR | + | $\vee$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AND | $\cdot$ | $\wedge$ |
| NOT | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\neg$ or $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ |

BDDs are based on the Boole-Shannon-Decomposition:
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$$
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## Boole-Shannon Decomposition Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(a, b, c)=\bar{a} \cdot(b+c)+\bar{b} \cdot c \\
& \text { Ordering: } \quad a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c
\end{aligned}
$$
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Does variable order matter?

## Variable Order

- If we fix the ordering of variables, BDDs are called OBBDs (Ordered Binary Decision Diagrams).
- The ordering is essential for the size of a BDD.

$$
f=(a \cdot b)+(c \cdot d)+e
$$



$$
a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \rightarrow e
$$



## Calculating with BDDs

- SIMPLIFY: Given BDD for $f$, determine simplified BDD for $f$.
- Eliminate redundant nodes.
- Merge equivalent leaves ( 0 and 1 )
- Merge isomorphic nodes, i.e., nodes that represent the same Boolean function.
- A BDD that can not be further simplified is called a reduced BDD.

A reduced OBDD (also denoted as ROBDD) is a unique representation of a given Boolean function.
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- Remove all nodes that represent $x$.
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## Calculating with BDDs

- APPLY: Given BDDs for $f$ and $g$, determine a BDD for $f \diamond g$ for some operation $\bullet$.
- Combine the two BDDs recursively based on the following relation:
$f \diamond g=\bar{x} \cdot\left(\left.\left.f\right|_{x=0} \diamond g\right|_{x=0}\right)+x \cdot\left(\left.\left.f\right|_{x=1} \diamond g\right|_{x=1}\right)$

- Boolean functions can be converted to BDDs step by step using APPLY.


## Calculating with BDDs

- Quantifiers are constructed by APPLY and RESTRICT:
$(\exists x: f) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left(\left.f\right|_{x=0}+\left.f\right|_{x=1}\right)$
$(\forall x: f) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left(\left.\left.f\right|_{x=0} \cdot f\right|_{x=1}\right)$
$\left(\exists x_{1}, x_{2}: f\right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left(\exists x_{1}\left(\exists x_{2}: f\right)\right)$
$\left(\forall x_{1}, x_{2}: f\right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left(\forall x_{1}\left(\forall x_{2}: f\right)\right)$
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## Calculating with BDDs

- Quantifiers are constructed by APPLY and RESTRICT:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(\exists x: f) & \Leftrightarrow & \left(\left.f\right|_{x=0}+\left.f\right|_{x=1}\right) \\
(\forall x: f) & \Leftrightarrow & \left(\left.\left.f\right|_{x=0} \cdot f\right|_{x=1}\right)
\end{array}
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$$
f(a, b)=\bar{a} \cdot b \quad g(a)=\exists b: f(a, b)=\bar{a}
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## Calculating with BDDs

- Quantifiers are constructed by APPLY and RESTRICT:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(\exists x: f) & \Leftrightarrow & \left(\left.f\right|_{x=0}+\left.f\right|_{x=1}\right) \\
(\forall x: f) & \Leftrightarrow & \left(\left.\left.f\right|_{x=0} \cdot f\right|_{x=1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
f(a, b)=\bar{a} \cdot b \quad g(a)=\exists b: f(a, b)=\bar{a} \quad h(a)=\forall b: f(a, b)
$$



## Calculating with BDDs

- Quantifiers are constructed by APPLY and RESTRICT:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(\exists x: f) & \Leftrightarrow & \left(\left.f\right|_{x=0}+\left.f\right|_{x=1}\right) \\
(\forall x: f) & \Leftrightarrow & \left(\left.\left.f\right|_{x=0} \cdot f\right|_{x=1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
f(a, b)=\bar{a} \cdot b \quad g(a)=\exists b: f(a, b)=\bar{a} \quad h(a)=\forall b: f(a, b)=0
$$



## Comparison using BDDs

- Boolean (combinatorial) circuits: Compare specification and implementation, or compare two implementations.
- Method:
- Representation of the two systems in ROBDDs, e.g., by applying the $A P P L Y$ operator repeatedly.
- Compare the structures of the ROBDDs.
- Example:

$$
y=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3} \quad \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{A P P L} \boldsymbol{Y}}
$$
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## Sets and Relations

characteristic function

- Representation of a subset $A \subseteq E$ :
- Binary encoding $\sigma(e)$ of all elements $e \in E$
- Subset $A$ is represented by $a \in A \Leftrightarrow \psi_{A}(\sigma(a))$
- Stepwise construction of the BDD corresponding to some subsets.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c \in A \cap B \Leftrightarrow \\
& c \in A \cup B \Leftrightarrow \\
& \psi_{A}(\sigma(c)) \cdot \psi_{B}(\sigma(c)) \\
& c \in A \backslash B \Leftrightarrow \\
& c \in E \backslash A \Leftrightarrow \\
& c \in \psi_{A}(\sigma(c)) \cdot \overline{\psi_{B}(\sigma(c))} \\
& \psi_{A}(\sigma(c))
\end{aligned}
$$



## Sets and Relations

- Example:

```
\(\forall e \in E: \sigma(e)=\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\)
\(\sigma\left(e_{0}\right)=(0,0) \quad \sigma\left(e_{1}\right)=(0,1) \quad \sigma\left(e_{2}\right)=(1,0) \quad \sigma\left(e_{3}\right)=(1,1)\)
\(\psi_{A}=x_{0} \oplus x_{1}\)
```

$A=$ ?


## Sets and Relations

- Example:

```
\(\forall e \in E: \sigma(e)=\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\)
\(\sigma\left(e_{0}\right)=(0,0) \quad \sigma\left(e_{1}\right)=(0,1) \quad \sigma\left(e_{2}\right)=(1,0) \quad \sigma\left(e_{3}\right)=(1,1)\)
\(\psi_{A}=x_{0} \oplus x_{1}\)
```

$A=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$


## Sets and Relations

| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{e})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zürich | 0 | 0 |
| Sydney | 0 | 1 |
| Beijing | 1 | 0 |
| Paris | 1 | 1 |


| $E$ | $p$ |  | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $z$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $s$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Capitals? } & \psi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=? \\
\text { European cities? } & \psi_{B}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=? \\
\text { European capitals? } & \psi_{c}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=?
\end{array}
$$

## Sets and Relations

| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{e})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zürich | 0 | 0 |
| Sydney | 0 | 1 |
| Beijing | 1 | 0 |
| Paris | 1 | 1 |


Capitals?
$\psi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=?$
$\psi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=x_{1}$
European cities? $\quad \psi_{B}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=$ ?
European capitals? $\quad \psi_{c}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=?$

## Sets and Relations

| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{e})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zürich | 0 | 0 |
| Sydney | 0 | 1 |
| Beijing | 1 | 0 |
| Paris | 1 | 1 |



| Capitals? | $\psi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=?$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| European cities? | $\psi_{B}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=?$ |
| European capitals? | $\psi_{c}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=?$ |

## Sets and Relations

| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{e})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zürich | 0 | 0 |
| Sydney | 0 | 1 |
| Beijing | 1 | 0 |
| Paris | 1 | 1 |



| Capitals? | $\psi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=?$ | $\psi_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=x_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| European cities? | $\psi_{B}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=?$ | $\psi_{B}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=\overline{x_{0}} \cdot \bar{x}_{1}+x_{0} \cdot x_{1}$ |
| European capitals? | $\psi_{c}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=?$ | $C=A \cap B \quad \psi_{c}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=x_{0} \cdot x_{1}$ |

## Selecting a "good" encoding is both important and difficult

For a state space
encoded with $N$ bits

In previous example

Represent up to $2^{N}$ states

Subset $A$ of all capitals is represented by $\psi_{A}=x_{1}$

- No need to iterate through all capitals to verify that some property holds (e.g. "All capitals have a parliament.")
- We can use the (compact) representation of the set.


## Selecting a "good" encoding is both important and difficult

For a state space
encoded with $N$ bits

In previous example

But...

Represent up to $2^{N}$ states

Subset $A$ of all capitals is represented by $\psi_{A}=x_{1}$

- No need to iterate through all capitals to verify that some property holds (e.g. "All capitals have a parliament.")
- We can use the (compact) representation of the set.

Selecting a good encoding —Representing state efficiently is difficult in practice.

- It is one challenge of ML: How to efficiently encode the inputs?


## Efficient state representation

## Computing reachability

[^0]- Set of states as Boolean function
- Binary Decision Diagram representation
- Leverage efficient state representation
- Explore successor sets of states
- Temporal logic (CTL)
- Encoding as reachability problem


## Sets and Relations using BDDs

- Representation of a relation $R \subseteq A \times B$
- Binary encoding $\sigma(a), \sigma(b)$ of all elements $a \in A, b \in B$
- Representation of $R$

$$
(a, b) \in R \Leftrightarrow \psi_{R}(\sigma(a), \sigma(b))
$$

$\qquad$ characteristic function of the relation $R$

## Sets and Relations using BDDs

- Representation of a relation $R \subseteq A \times B$
- Binary encoding $\sigma(a), \sigma(b)$ of all elements $a \in A, b \in B$
- Representation of $R$

$$
(a, b) \in R \Leftrightarrow \psi_{R}(\sigma(a), \sigma(b))
$$

characteristic function of the relation $R$

- Example:


$$
\psi_{\delta}\left(\sigma(q), \sigma\left(q^{\prime}\right)\right)=\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)
$$

To simplify notation

describe state transitions return 1 if there is a transition $q \rightarrow q^{\prime}, 0$ otherwise

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{\delta}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)=1 \\
& \psi_{\delta}\left(q_{0}, q_{3}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reachability of States

- Problem: Is a state $q \in Q$ reachable by a sequence of state transitions?
- Method:
- Represent set of states and the transformation relation as ROBDDs.
- Use these representations to transform from one set of states to another. Set $Q_{i}$ corresponds to the set of states reachable after $i$ transitions.
- Iterate the transformation until a fixed-point is reached, i.e., until the set of states does not change anymore (steady-state).
- Example:
$Q_{2}=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$

$Q_{3}=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$


Drawing state-diagrams is not feasible in general.

Drawing state-diagrams is not feasible in general.

1. Work with sets of states
2. Use characteristic functions to represent sets of states
3. Use ROBDDs to encode characteristic functions

## Reachability of States

- Transformation of sets of states:
- Determine the set of all direct successor states of a given set of states $Q$ by means of the transformation function $\delta$ :

Set of successor states: $Q^{\prime}=\operatorname{Suc}(Q, \delta)=\{q^{\prime} \mid \exists q: \underbrace{\psi_{Q}(q)} \cdot \underbrace{\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)}\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Characteristic function } \quad \text { Transition function } q \rightarrow q^{\prime} \\
& \text { of current state set } Q
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{0}=\left\{q_{0}\right\} \\
& Q^{\prime}=\operatorname{Suc}\left(Q_{0}, \delta\right)=\left\{q_{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reachability of States

- Transformation of sets of states:
- Determine the set of all direct successor states of a given set of states $Q$ by means of the transformation function $\delta$ :

Set of successor states: $Q^{\prime}=\operatorname{Suc}(Q, \delta)=\left\{q^{\prime} \mid \exists q: \psi_{Q}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
Characteristic function Transition function $q \rightarrow q^{\prime}$
of current state set $Q$


## Reachability of States

- Transformation of sets of states:
- Determine the set of all direct successor states of a given set of states $Q$ by means of the transformation function $\delta$ :

Set of successor states: $\quad Q^{\prime}=\operatorname{Suc}(Q, \delta)=\left\{q^{\prime} \mid \exists q: \psi_{Q}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
Characteristic function
of current state set $Q$$\quad$ Transition function $q \rightarrow q^{\prime}$


## Reachability of States

- Transformation of sets of states:
- Determine the set of all direct successor states of a given set of states $Q$ by means of the transformation function $\delta$ :

Set of successor states: $Q^{\prime}=\operatorname{Suc}(Q, \delta)=\left\{q^{\prime} \mid \exists q: \psi_{Q}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
Characteristic function
of current state set $Q$$\quad$ Transition function $q \rightarrow q^{\prime}$
states with at least one outgoing transition

states with at least one incoming transition

## Reachability of States

- Transformation of sets of states:
- Determine the set of all direct successor states of a given set of states $Q$ by means of the transformation function $\delta$ :

Set of successor states: $\quad Q^{\prime}=\operatorname{Suc}(Q, \delta)=\left\{q^{\prime} \mid \exists q: \psi_{Q}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right\}$

Efficient to compute
with ROBDDs

$$
\begin{gathered}
h\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{Q}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \\
\psi_{Q^{\prime}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\left(\exists q: h\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Reachability of States

- Fixed-point iteration
- Start with the initial state, then determine the set of states that can be reached in one or more steps.



## Reachability of States

- Fixed-point iteration
- Start with the initial state, then determine the set of states that can be reached in one or more steps.

- Due to the finite number of states, the fixed-point exists and is reached in a finite number of steps (at most the diameter of the state diagram).
- Determine whether the fixed-point is reached or not can be done by comparing the ROBDDs of the current set of reachable states.


## Reachability of States - Example

| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{q})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 |

State encoding
$\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=\sigma(q)$


## Reachability of States - Example

| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{q})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 |
| State encoding |  |  |
| $\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=\sigma(q)$ |  |  |

Transition relation encoding $\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$
As a Boolean function
$\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(x_{0} \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{x_{0}} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}{ }^{\prime}}$
As a Boolean function
$\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(x_{0} \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{x_{0}} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}{ }^{\prime}}$
entries where $\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=1$ only

| $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}{ }^{\prime}$ | $q_{0} \rightarrow q_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $q_{2} \rightarrow q_{2}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |



## Reachability of States - Example

| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{q})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 |  |
| $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 |  |
| State encoding |  |  |  |

$\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=\sigma(q)$

Transition relation encoding $\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$

> As a Boolean function

$$
\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(x_{0} \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{x_{0}} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

Compute reachable states:

$$
\psi_{Q_{i+1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{Q_{i}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)+\left(\exists q: \psi_{Q_{i}}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

## Reachability of States - Example

| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{q})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 |  |
| $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 |  |
| State encoding |  |  |  |

$\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=\sigma(q)$

$$
Q_{0}=\left\{q_{0}\right\}
$$



Transition relation encoding $\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$

> As a Boolean function

$$
\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(x_{0} \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{x_{0}} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

Compute reachable states:

$$
\psi_{Q_{i+1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{Q_{i}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)+\left(\exists q: \psi_{Q_{i}}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\psi_{Q_{0}}(q)=\overline{x_{1}} \cdot \overline{x_{0}}
$$

## Reachability of States - Example



Transition relation encoding $\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { As a Boolean function } \\
& \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(x_{0} \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{x_{0}} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}{ }^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Compute reachable states:

$$
\psi_{Q_{i+1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{Q_{i}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)+\left(\exists q: \psi_{Q_{i}}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{Q_{0}}(q)=\overline{x_{1}} \cdot \overline{x_{0}} \\
& \psi_{Q_{1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot \overline{x_{0}^{\prime}}+\left(\exists q: \overline{x_{1}} \cdot \overline{x_{0}} \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reachability of States - Example

| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{q})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |

State encoding
$\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=\sigma(q)$
$Q_{1}=Q_{0} \cup\left\{q_{1}\right\}$
$=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\}$


Transition relation encoding $\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$

## As a Boolean function

$$
\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(x_{0} \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{x_{0}} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}{ }^{\prime}}
$$

Compute reachable states:

$$
\psi_{Q_{i+1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{Q_{i}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)+\left(\exists q: \psi_{Q_{i}}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{Q_{0}}(q)=\overline{x_{1}} \cdot \overline{x_{0}} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\psi_{Q_{1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right) & =\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot \overline{x_{0}^{\prime}}+(\underbrace{\exists q: \overline{x_{1}} \cdot \overline{x_{0}} \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)}_{q_{0}: x_{0}=0, x_{1}=0}) \\
& =\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot \overline{x_{0}^{\prime}}+\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime}=\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

From BDDs and quantifiers:

$$
\exists x: f=\left.f\right|_{x=0}+\left.f\right|_{x=1}
$$

The only non-zero term is for $x_{0}=0, x_{1}=0$ (see next slide)

## Reachability of States - Example (BDD Calculation)

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \psi_{Q_{i+1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{Q_{i}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)+\left(\exists q: \psi_{Q_{i}}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \psi_{Q_{0}}(q)=\overline{x_{1}} \cdot \overline{x_{0}} \\
\mathrm{Eq}_{1}: & \psi_{Q_{1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot \overline{x_{0}^{\prime}}+(\underbrace{\left.\exists q: \overline{x_{1}} \cdot \overline{x_{0}} \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)}_{\exists q: f \rightarrow \exists x_{1} \exists x_{0}: f}
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r}
\text { From BDDs and quantifiers: } \\
\left(\exists x_{1}, x_{2}: f\right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left(\exists x_{1}\left(\exists x_{2}: f\right)\right) \\
\exists x: f=\left.f\right|_{x=0}+\left.f\right|_{x=1}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exists x_{0}:\left.f \quad f\right|_{x_{0}=1} & =\overline{x_{1}} \cdot 0 \cdot\left(\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(1 \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+0 \cdot x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}{ }^{\prime}}\right)=0 \\
& \left.f\right|_{x_{0}=0}=\overline{x_{1}} \cdot 1 \cdot\left(\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(0 \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+1 \cdot x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}{ }^{\prime}}\right)=\overline{x_{1}} \cdot\left(\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}{ }^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exists x_{1}:\left.f\right|_{x_{0}=0} & \left.f\right|_{x_{0}=0, x_{1}=1}=0 \cdot\left(\overline{x_{0}^{\prime}} \cdot\left(1 \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}\right)=0 \\
& \left.f\right|_{x_{0}=0, x_{1}=0}=1 \cdot\left(\overline{x_{0}^{\prime}} \cdot\left(0 \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}\right)=x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}{ }^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\exists x_{1} \exists x_{0}: f=x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}^{\prime}} \text { Plug into } \mathrm{Eq}_{1} \text { to compute } \psi_{Q_{1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Reachability of States - Example



Transition relation encoding $\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { As a Boolean function } \\
& \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(x_{0} \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{x_{0}} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}{ }^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Compute reachable states:

$$
\psi_{Q_{i+1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{Q_{i}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)+\left(\exists q: \psi_{Q_{i}}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{Q_{1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}} \\
& \psi_{Q_{2}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}+\left(\exists q: \overline{x_{1}} \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reachability of States - Example

| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{q})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |

State encoding
$\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=\sigma(q)$
$Q_{2}=Q_{1} \cup\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$
$=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$

$$
\psi_{Q_{1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}
$$



Transition relation encoding $\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$

## As a Boolean function

$$
\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(x_{0} \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{x_{0}} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}{ }^{\prime}}
$$

Compute reachable states:

$$
\psi_{Q_{i+1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{Q_{i}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)+\left(\exists q: \psi_{Q_{i}}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{Q_{2}}\left(q^{\prime}\right) & =\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}+\underbrace{\left(\exists q: \overline{x_{1}} \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right.}_{q_{0}: x_{0}=0, x_{1}=0}) \\
& =\overline{x_{1}: x_{0}=1, x_{1}=0} \\
& =\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{0}^{\prime}}=\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}+\overline{x_{0}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reachability of States - Example

| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{q})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |

State encoding
$\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=\sigma(q)$
$Q_{3}=Q_{2} \cup\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$
$=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$


Transition relation encoding $\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$

## As a Boolean function

$$
\psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\overline{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot\left(x_{0} \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{x_{0}} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

Compute reachable states:

$$
\psi_{Q_{i+1}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{Q_{i}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)+\left(\exists q: \psi_{Q_{i}}(q) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{Q_{2}}\left(q^{\prime}\right) & =\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}+\overline{x_{0}^{\prime}} \\
\psi_{Q_{3}}\left(q^{\prime}\right) & =\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}+\overline{x_{0}^{\prime}}+(\underbrace{\exists q:\left(\overline{x_{1}}+\overline{x_{0}}\right) \cdot \psi_{\delta}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)}_{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}}) \\
& =\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}+\overline{x_{0}^{\prime}}+\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}+\overline{x_{0}^{\prime}}=\overline{x_{1}^{\prime}}+\overline{x_{0}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## It's always a reachability problem

Or rather
The goal is to transform the problem at hand to encode it as a reachability problem.

Because these can be solved very efficiently

1. Work with sets of states
2. Use characteristic functions to represent sets of states
3. Use ROBDDs to encode characteristic functions

## It's always a reachability problem

Or rather
The goal is to transform the problem at hand to encode it as a reachability problem.

- Because these can be solved very efficiently

1. Work with sets of states
2. Use characteristic functions to represent sets of states
3. Use ROBDDs to encode characteristic functions

Comparison of finite automata

1. Compute the set of jointly reachable states
2. Compare the output values of two finite automata
3. ...

## Your turn to practice! after the break

1. Familiarise yourself with the equivalence
"set of states" $\equiv$ "characteristic functions"
2. Express system properties using characteristic functions
3. Draw and simplify BDDs to compare a specification and an implementation

## Efficient state representation

## Computing reachability

Next week

Proving properties

- Set of states as Boolean function
- Binary Decision Diagram representation
- Leverage efficient state representation
- Explore successor sets of states
- Temporal logic (CTL)
- Encoding as reachability problem


[^0]:    Proving
    properties

