

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich





HS 2020

Prof. R. Wattenhofer Oliver Richter

# Computational Thinking Sample Solutions to Exercise 11

# 1 Limitations of Neural Networks

A neural network can in theory approximate any continuous function given a sufficiently large number of hidden nodes. Therefore, only c) and e) cannot be represented, as those functions are not continuous.

### 2 VC Dimension

A linear logistic regression on two scalar inputs gives a classification boundary that can be visualized as a line in the 2-dimensional input plane. Given three points on this 2-dimensional plane (that do not lie on a line), we can always draw a line that separates the points into 2 classes. Specifically, we can do so to get a correct classifier for every possible labeling of the points. Given 4 points however, we can label points in such a way that no line can separate the classes. An example is the XOR labeling in Figure 1. Note that such a labeling can be given to any 4 points in the plane. Therefore, the VC dimension of a linear logistic regression classifier is 3, as no data set of 4 points exists that allows all labelings.



Figure 1: The XOR function visualized in the 2-dimensional input space with the labels represented as colors (0 as red and 1 as blue). No line can separate the classes.

# 3 An Ill-Designed Network

a)  $\hat{f}(x|a,b) = 1 \cdot \tanh(100 * 0.9) = 1$  (given numerical precision)

**b)** 
$$\frac{dL}{db} = \frac{dL}{d\hat{f}} \cdot \frac{d\hat{f}}{d\hat{f}} db = 0.1 \cdot \tanh(90) = 0.1$$

c) 
$$\frac{dL}{db} = \frac{dL}{d\hat{f}} \cdot \frac{d\hat{f}}{d\tanh(ax)} \cdot \frac{d\tanh(ax)}{d(ax)} \cdot x = 0.0 \text{ (since } 1 - \tanh^2(90) = 0\text{)}.$$

d)  $a_n ew = a$ ,  $b_n ew = b - 0.1 \cdot \frac{dL}{db} = 0.99$ . The weight *a* which causes the issue did not get any update due to a vanishing gradient. e) If we do the same calculations for x = 0.9 again we find that  $\frac{dL}{da} \approx 3099.56$ . This yields  $a_{new} = a - \alpha \frac{dL}{da} \approx -308.956$  and following updates will again have the vanishing gradient problem. The first update suffers from what is called an exploding gradient here.

**[Bonus]** The hyperbolic tangent is close to linear around the origin, a decent approximation would therefore be given by 0 < a << 1 and b = 1/a.

#### 4 Gradient Descent with Momentum

a)  $\beta = 0$ 

**b)** Roughly at the same point where the light green cross is, as the loss surface is flat which leads to a gradient close to zero.

c) The update is much bigger into the direction of the global optimum as  $m_w$  is dominated by the bigger gradient from the preceding step.

d) In the global optimum.

e) The large gradients in the first few iterations might dominate  $m_w$  and drive the optimization across the global optimum up the hill into the local optimum on the right.