Multimodal Deep Learning

Till Aczél

Mentor: Zhao Meng

26.04.2022

ETH Zürich - Seminar in Deep Neural Networks

Vision Transformer (ViT)

Vision Transformer (ViT)



Figure taken from Dosovitskiy et al. 2020



н



Video taken from Caron et al. 2021

Comparison:

- Do Vision Transformers See Like Convolutional Neural Networks?, Raghu et al. 2021
- Transformers in vision: A survey, Khan et al. 2021

Improving ViTs:

- Training data-efficient image transformers & distillation through attention, Touvron et al. 2021
- Swin Transformer: Hierarchical Vision Transformer using Shifted Windows, Ze Liu et al. 2021
- Cvt: Introducing convolutions to vision transformers, Wu et al. 2021

Attention for CNNs:

• A ConvNet for the 2020s, Zhuang Liu et al. 2022

Motivation and Tasks

- Direct user interaction
- Easier dataset collection
- Discrete categories are to strict

- Image Captioning
- Visual Question Answering
- Natural Language for Visual Reasoning
- Image Text Retrieval



Figure taken from Hodosh, Young, and Hockenmaier 2013

Visual Question Answering



What color are her eyes? What is the mustache made of?



Is this person expecting company? What is just under the tree?



How many slices of pizza are there? Is this a vegetarian pizza?



Does it appear to be rainy? Does this person have 20/20 vision?

Figure taken from Antol et al. 2015

Natural Language for Visual Reasoning



The left image contains twice the number of dogs as the right image, and at least two dogs in total are standing.



One image shows exactly two brown acorns in back-to-back caps on green foliage.

Figure taken from Suhr et al. 2018

Image Text Retrieval



Figure taken from Hua, Yang, and Du 2020

How do multi-modal models work?



Figure taken from Cornia et al. 2018

CLIP













Figure taken from Radford et al. 2021



Figure taken from Radford et al. 2021



Figure taken from Radford et al. 2021



Figure taken from Radford et al. 2021

plane	
car	
dog	
÷	
hird	











Figure taken from Radford et al. 2021

CLIP



CLIP robustness

DATASET	IMAGENET RESNET101	CLIP VIT-L
ImageNet	76.2%	76.2%
ImageNet V2	64.3%	70.1%
ImageNet Rendition	37.7%	88.9%
ObjectNet	32.6%	72.3%
ImageNet Sketch	25.2%	60.2%
ImageNet Adversarial	2.7%	77.1%

CLIP¹ prompt engineering



¹Radford et al. 2021.

- Zero-shot performance is well below the SOTA
- Especially weak on abstract tasks such as counting
- Poor on out-of-distribution data such as MNIST
- Susceptible to adversarial attacks



Granny Smith	85.6
iPod	0.4
library	0.0
pizza	0.0
toaster	0.0
dough	0.1



Granny Smith	0.1%
iPod	99.7%
library	0.0%
pizza	0.0%
toaster	0.0%
dough	0.0%



Standard Poodle	39.3%
Angora rabbit	16.0%
Standard Schnauzer	3.6%
Old English Sheepdog	3.3%
Komondor	2.8%
Bedlington Terrier	2.8%



piggy bank	52.5%
Standard Poodle	23.8%
Miniature Poodle	2.3%
Pyrenean Mountain Dog	1.1%
military cap	0.7%
Chow Chow	0.7%

Figure taken from Goh et al. 2021

Demo



Figure taken from Zhou et al. 2022

Better representations



ViLT



ViLT







Figure taken from W. Kim, Son, and I. Kim 2021



Figure taken from W. Kim, Son, and I. Kim 2021



Figure taken from W. Kim, Son, and I. Kim 2021



Figure taken from W. Kim, Son, and I. Kim 2021



Figure taken from W. Kim, Son, and I. Kim 2021

ViLT



Visual	Madal	Time	VQAv2	NLVR2		
Embed	WIOUEI	(ms)	test-dev	dev	test-P	
	w/o VLP SOTA	~900	70.63	54.80	53.50	
	ViLBERT	~920	70.55	-	-	
Region	VisualBERT	~925	70.80	67.40	67.00	
	LXMERT	~900	72.42	74.90	74.50	
	UNITER-Base	~900	72.70	75.85	75.80	
	OSCAR-Base [†]	~900	73.16	78.07	78.36	
	VinVL-Base ^{†‡}	~650	75.95	82.05	83.08	
0.1	Pixel-BERT-X152	~160	74.45	76.50	77.20	
Grid	Pixel-BERT-R50	~60	71.35	71.70	72.40	
Linear	ViLT-B/32	~15	70.33	74.41	74.57	
	ViLT-B/32 ^a	~15	70.85	74.91	75.57	
	ViLT-B/32ⓐ⊕	~15	71.26	75.70	76.13	

Results from W. Kim, Son, and I. Kim 2021

Visual	Model	Model Time	Zero-Shot Te Flickr30k (1K)			ext Retrieval MSCOCO (5K)		Zero-Shot In Flickr30k (1K)			nage Retrieval MSCOCO (5K)			
Linocu		(IIIS)	R@1	R@5	R@10	R@1	R@5	R@10	R@1	R@5	R@10	R@1	R@5	R@10
Region	ViLBERT	~900	-	-	-	-	-	-	31.9	61.1	72.8	-	-	-
	Unicoder-VL	~925	64.3	85.8	92.3	-	-	-	48.4	76.0	85.2	-	-	-
	UNITER-Base	~900	80.7	95.7	98.0	-	-	-	66.2	88.4	92.9	-	-	-
	ImageBERT [†]	~925	70.7	90.2	94.0	44.0	71.2	80.4	54.3	79.6	87.5	32.3	59.0	70.2
Linear	ViLT-B/32	~15	69.7	91.0	96.0	53.4	80.7	88.8	51.3	79.9	87.9	37.3	67.4	79.0
	ViLT-B/32	~15	73.2	93.6	96.5	56.5	82.6	89.6	55.0	82.5	89.8	40.4	70.0	81.1

Results from W. Kim, Son, and I. Kim 2021

Multi-modal embedding losses



Task specific models



Image taken from Ramesh et al. 2022

Thank you for your attention

References i

- Antol, Stanislaw et al. (2015). "Vqa: Visual question answering". In: *Proceedings* of the IEEE international conference on computer vision, pp. 2425–2433.



Caron, Mathilde et al. (2021). "Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers". In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 9650–9660.



Cornia, Marcella et al. (2018). "Towards cycle-consistent models for text and image retrieval". In: *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision* (*ECCV*) *Workshops*.



Dosovitskiy, Alexey et al. (2020). "An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*.



Goh, Gabriel et al. (2021). "Multimodal neurons in artificial neural networks". In: Distill 6.3, e30.



Hodosh, Micah, Peter Young, and Julia Hockenmaier (2013). "Framing image description as a ranking task: Data, models and evaluation metrics". In: *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 47, pp. 853–899.



References ii

Khan, Salman et al. (2021). "Transformers in vision: A survey". In: ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR).



Kim, Wonjae, Bokyung Son, and Ildoo Kim (2021). "Vilt: Vision-and-language transformer without convolution or region supervision". In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, pp. 5583–5594.



Liu, Ze et al. (2021). "Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows". In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 10012–10022.





Park, Dongju and Chang Wook Ahn (2019). "Self-supervised contextual data augmentation for natural language processing". In: *Symmetry* 11.11, p. 1393.



Radford, Alec et al. (2021). "Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision". In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, pp. 8748–8763.



Raghu, Maithra et al. (2021). "Do vision transformers see like convolutional neural networks?" In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34.

- Ramesh, Aditya et al. (2022). "Hierarchical Text-Conditional Image Generation with CLIP Latents". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*.
- Suhr, Alane et al. (2018). "A corpus for reasoning about natural language grounded in photographs". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.00491*.



Touvron, Hugo et al. (2021). "Training data-efficient image transformers & distillation through attention". In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, pp. 10347–10357.





Xie, Zhenda et al. (2021). "Simmim: A simple framework for masked image modeling". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.09886*.



Zhou, Xingyi et al. (2022). "Detecting Twenty-thousand Classes using Image-level Supervision". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.02605*.