EHzürich



Human Influence for Reinforcement Learning

Deep Q-learning from Demonstrations

T. Hester et al.

Deep Reinforcement Learning from Human Preferences

P. Christiano et al.

Conventional Reinforcement Learning



[1] Mnih, V., Kavukcuoglu, K., Silver, D., Graves, A., Antonoglou, I., Wierstra, D., & Riedmiller, M. (2013). Playing atari with deep reinforcement learning.

Learning from Demonstrations

- Imitation learning:
 - By design never outperform human experts
 - Only exploit narrow area of state-action space
- Combined reinforcement and imitation learning:
 - Reward / policy shaping
- Teacher / apprentticeship agents:
 - Learning from trained agents

Deep Q-learning from Demonstrations



Deep Q-learning from Demonstrations



Deep Q-learning from Demonstrations



Base Network

- Double DQN with prioritized experience replay [1,2]
 - Double DQN: reduced reward overestimation
 - Prioritized experience replay: increased number of hard tasks

$$J_{DQ}(Q) = \left(R(s, a) + \gamma Q(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}^{\max}; \theta') - Q(s, a; \theta) \right)^2$$

[1] Van Hasselt, H., Guez, A., & Silver, D. (2016, March). Deep reinforcement learning with double q-learning. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
[2] Schaul, T., Quan, J., Antonoglou, I., & Silver, D. (2015). Prioritized experience replay. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.05952*.

Two Phase Learning

- Pre-training (offline)
 - Replay buffer:
 - Controller data
 - Loss:
 - 1-step double Q-learning loss
 - n-step double Q-learning loss (n=10)
 - Supervised large margin classification loss
 - L2 regularization loss

- Online learning
 - Replay buffer:
 - Controller data (not overwritten + prioritized)
 - Self-generated data
 - Loss:
 - 1-step double Q-learning loss
 - N-step double Q-learning loss
 - (Supervised large margin classification loss) for controller data
 - L2 regularization loss

Loss Function

- Supervised large margin classification loss [1]
 - Limits value of unseen actions

 $J_E(Q) = \max_{a \in A} [Q(s, a) + l(a_E, a)] - Q(s, a_E), \quad l(a_E, a) = \begin{cases} 0 & a = a_E \\ c > 0 & a \neq a_E \end{cases}$

- 1-step + N-step double Q-learning loss
 - Guarantee Bellman equation
- L2 regularization loss
 - Network weight + bias regularization

[1] Piot, B., Geist, M., & Pietquin, O. (2014, September). Boosted bellman residual minimization handling expert demonstrations. In *Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases* (pp. 549-564). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Experiments

- 42 Atari games played 3-12 times → 5,574 to 75,472 transitions/game
 - Outperforms worst demonstration in 29 games
 - Outperforms best demonstration in 14 games

ETH zürich

Results



ETH zürich

Results



[1] Hester, T., Vecerik, M., Pietquin, O., Lanctot, M., Schaul, T., Piot, B., ... & Dulac-Arnold, G. (2018, April). Deep q-learning from demonstrations. In *Thirty-Second* AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence.

Ablation Study



Demonstration Up-Sample Ratio



We can intuitively define complex reward functions!



Deep Reinforcement Learning from Human Preferences

- Solve DRL tasks without observing the true reward
- Comparison of video sequences → intuitive evaluation
- Not contingent on human performing task
- Potential to outperform conventional DRL

Deep Reinforcement Learning from Human Preferences



Deep Reinforcement Learning from Human Preferences

• Conventional DRL step:

 $(o_i, a_i) \to r_i$

Trajectory segment:

 $\sigma = ((o_0, a_0), (o_1, a_1), \dots, (o_k - 1, a_k - 1)) \to r_{k-1}$

- Human can rate order of trajectory segments:
 - Goal in human language
 - Present video segments of agent's attempts
 - Rate videos $\sigma^1 \succ \sigma^2$

Training pipeline



- DRL with predicted rewards:
 - Interaction with environment
 - Trajectory generation
- Human evaluation:
 - Trajectory comparison

- Reward predictor training:
 - Optimization of reward predictor

DRL with Predicted Rewards

- Tasks:
 - Interaction with environment
 - Generation of trajectories
- Methods:
 - Conventional DRL with non-stationary reward function
 - Atari: advantage actor critic (A2C) [1]
 - Robots: trust region policy optimization (TRPO) [2]

[1] Mnih, V., Badia, A. P., Mirza, M., Graves, A., Lillicrap, T., Harley, T., ... & Kavukcuoglu, K. (2016, June). Asynchronous methods for deep reinforcement learning. In *International conference on machine learning* (pp. 1928-1937).

[2] Schulman, J., Levine, S., Abbeel, P., Jordan, M., & Moritz, P. (2015, June). Trust region policy optimization. In International Conference on Machine Learning (pp. 1889-1897).

Human Evaluation

- 1s 2s segments are evaluated
- Database \mathcal{D} of triples $(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \mu)$
- Querries based on prediction variance → approximates value of information

Reward Predictor Training

Preference predictor: latent factor of human judgement

$$\hat{P}[\sigma^{1} \succ \sigma^{2}] = \frac{\exp \sum \hat{r}(o_{t}^{1}, a_{t}^{1})}{\exp \sum \hat{r}(o_{t}^{1}, a_{t}^{1}) + \exp \sum \hat{r}(o_{t}^{2}, a_{t}^{2})}$$

Training with cross entropy loss

$$\operatorname{loss}(\hat{r}) = -\sum_{(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \mu) \in \mathcal{D}} \mu(1) \log \hat{P}[\sigma^1 \succ \sigma^2] + \mu(2) \log \hat{P}[\sigma^2 \succ \sigma^1]$$

- Implementation details:
 - Ensemble of predictors
 - L2 regularization optimized on validation set
 - Assumption: Human choice 10% at random

Results Simulated Robotics



ETH zürich

Results Atari



Complex Task: Hopper Backflip



Complex Task: Half-Cheetah Handstand



Complex Task: Enduro keep alongside cars



Ablation Simulated Robotics



Ablation Results

- Offline reward predictor training results in strange behavior
- Querying comparisons is more helpful than absolute scores
- Sequences are more helpful than single frames

Summary

- DRL for hard tasks can profit from human intuition
- Boost initial performance with demonstrations
- Behavioral ratings for not directly solvable tasks