





FS 2019

Prof. R. Wattenhofer Jakub Sliwinski

## Principles of Distributed Computing Exercise 13

## 1 Flow labeling schemes

In this exercise, we focus on flow labeling schemes. Let  $G = \langle V, E, w \rangle$  be a weighted undirected graph where, for every edge  $e \in E$ , the weight w(e) is integral and represents the capacity of the edge. For two vertices  $u, v \in V$ , the flow between them (in either direction), denoted flow(u, v), can be defined as follows. Denote by G' the multigraph obtained by replacing each edge e in Gwith w(e) parallel edges of capacity 1. A set of paths P in G' is edge-disjoint if each edge (with capacity 1) appears in no more than one path  $p \in P$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{u,v}$  be the collection of all sets P of edge-disjoint paths in G' between u and v. Then flow $(u, v) = \max_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{u,v}} \{|P|\}$ .

Consider the family  $\mathcal{G}(n,\hat{\omega})$  of undirected weighted connected *n*-vertex graphs with maximum integral capacity  $\hat{\omega}$ . We will find flow labeling schemes for this family. Given a graph  $G = \langle V, E, w \rangle$  in this family and an integer  $1 \leq k$ , define the relation:

$$R_k = \{(x, y) | x, y \in V, \text{ flow}(x, y) \ge k\}.$$

**Question 1** Show that<sup>1</sup> for every  $k \ge 1$ , the relation  $R_k$  induces a collection of equivalence classes on V,  $C_k = \{C_k^1, \ldots, C_k^{m_k}\}$ , such that  $C_k^i \cap C_k^j = \emptyset$  (if  $i \ne j$ ) and  $\bigcup_i C_k^i = V$ . What is the relationship between  $C_k$  and  $C_{k+1}$ ?

According to the solution of Question 1, given G, one can construct a tree  $T_G$  corresponding to its equivalence relations. The k'th level of T corresponds to the relation  $R_k$ . The tree is truncated at a node once the equivalence class associated with it is a singleton. For every vertex  $v \in V$ , denote by t(v) the leaf in  $T_G$  associated with the singleton set  $\{v\}$ .

For two nodes x, y in a tree T rooted at r, we define the separation level of x and y, denoted SepLevel<sub>T</sub>(x, y), as the depth of z = lca(x, y), the least common ancestor of x and y. I.e., SepLevel<sub>T</sub> $(x, y) = dist_T(z, r)$ , the distance from z to the root.

## Question 2

- a) Show that if there exists a labeling scheme for distance in trees with labeling size  $\mathcal{L}(\operatorname{dist}, T)$ , then there is a labeling scheme for separation level with labeling size  $\mathcal{L}(\operatorname{SepLevel}, T) \leq \mathcal{L}(\operatorname{dist}, T) + \lceil \log m \rceil$  where *m* is the number of nodes in the tree.
- b) Recall there is an  $O(\log^2 m)$  labeling scheme for distance in unweighted trees of size m. Show that  $\mathcal{L}(\operatorname{flow}, \mathcal{G}(n, \hat{\omega})) = O(\log^2(n\hat{\omega}))$ .

**Question 3** Assume there is an  $O(\log^2 m + \log \omega \log m)$  labeling scheme for weighted distance in integer-weighted trees of size m with max. weight size  $\omega$ .

Find a more careful design of the tree  $T_G$  which can improve the bound on the label size to  $\mathcal{L}(\text{flow}, \mathcal{G}(n, \hat{\omega})) = O(\log n \log \hat{\omega} + \log^2 n)$ . Hint: Consider the nodes of degree 2 in  $T_G$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>As a convention, flow $(x, x) = \infty$ .